

## (12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)


(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date  
21 February 2002 (21.02.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/14934 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **G02F 1/01** (74) Agent: **REDMAN, Mary, Y.**; Corning Incorporated, SP TI 3 I, Corning, NY 14831 (US).
- (21) International Application Number: **PCT/US01/19788**
- (22) International Filing Date: **21 June 2001 (21.06.2001)**
- (25) Filing Language: **English**
- (26) Publication Language: **English**
- (30) Priority Data:  
00401993.1 11 August 2000 (11.08.2000) EP
- (71) Applicant: **CORNING INCORPORATED [US/US]**; 1 Riverfront Plaza, Corning, NY 14831 (US).
- (72) Inventors: **FONDEUR, Barthelemy**; 6, rue Sergent-Perrier, F-77300 Fontainebleau (FR). **RANALLI, Eliseo, R.**; 1406 Reggio Aisle, Irvine, CA 92696 (US). **WIGLEY, Peter, G.**; 2802 Pwoderhouse Road, Corning, NY 14830 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR).
- Published:**  
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: TAPPED DELAY LINE BASED GAIN FLATTENING FILTER



(57) Abstract: A method of modifying the spectral distribution of an optical signal includes the steps of splitting the signal among a plurality of optical paths (14), delaying the propagation of the signal through one or more of the paths by a time duration which results in the desired spectral distribution when the signals at the output ends of each optical path are recombined, and recombining those outputs. An apparatus for changing the spectral profile of an optical signal includes an input waveguide and a plurality of tapped delay lines (24, 26, 28, 30). Each tapped delay line has a delay element (38) configurable to impart a predetermined delay to the signal propagating down the delay line. Couplers (18, 19, 20) split an optical signal, propagating on the input waveguide, among the tapped delay lines (24, 26, 28, 30). The delays imparted to the signals on each line are chosen so as to effect the desired change to the spectral profile.

WO 02/14934 A1

## **TAPPED DELAY LINE BASED GAIN FLATTENING FILTER**

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

#### **1. Field of the Invention**

5                   The present invention relates generally to optical filters, and more specifically to configurable filters for spectral shaping.

#### **2. Technical Background**

10                   Actively configurable optical filters have many potential applications in optical communications, such as temporal pulse compression, dispersion slope compensation and spectral shaping. Spectral shaping is of particular interest in connection with optical amplifiers. The gain profile of a typical optical amplifier may be affected by numerous factors such as, for example, fiber length, fiber composition, splice losses, as well as the population inversion level of the dopant atoms (e.g. erbium) providing the gain. Having a relatively large optical signal at the input of the amplifier tends to deplete the excited electron population, resulting in a different spectral gain profile than that for a small input signal. Average gain also varies with input signal power.

15                   One approach to dealing with this effect is to operate the amplifier at a constant inversion population, with a fixed spectral filter that provides gain flattening over the operating wavelength range. In this approach, the inversion level is held fixed by selectively attenuating the input signal until the desired inversion level is achieved. However, there may be circumstances where operating the amplifier at a constant gain level, rather than a constant inversion level, would be advantageous. By utilizing an actively configurable spectral filter, an amplifier could be used in a constant-gain mode,

20

rather than constant inversion level, by flattening the gain profile of the amplifier for arbitrary inversion levels. One approach for realizing such a configurable spectral filter is to spatially disperse the various wavelength components of the input signal via a diffraction grating, then spatially modulate the dispersed components via a spatial light modulator or acoustically-formed phase grating. The polarization dependence and diffractive losses of the grating could be a drawback in some applications, however. Prisms could be used instead of a diffraction grating to eliminate polarization dependence and to decrease angular dispersion. Prisms require an increase in package size, however, which makes their use undesirable in many applications.

What is needed, then, is an actively configurable spectral filter that is polarization-independent, which can fit in a relatively small package, and which does not suffer from diffractive losses.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

One aspect of the invention is an apparatus for changing the spectral profile of an optical signal that includes a plurality of optical paths, each having associated with it a delay element which imparts a predetermined delay to a signal propagating through the optical path. A plurality of couplers is configured to split the optical signal among the plurality of optical paths according to a predetermined splitting ratio. The predetermined delays and predetermined splitting ratios are chosen so as to effect the desired change to the spectral profile.

In another aspect, the delay elements may include portions of the waveguide which have an altered index of refraction. The index of refraction may, in yet another aspect, be reversibly alterable.

In another aspect, the invention includes a method for modifying the spectral distribution of an optical signal which includes the steps of splitting the signal among a plurality of optical paths, each having an output end, so that a portion of the signal propagates on each of the optical paths; changing the relative phases of the portions of the signal propagating through the optical paths; modifying the amplitudes of the portions of the signal propagating through the optical paths; and combining the portions of the signal at the output ends of the optical paths. The relative phase changes and the

amplitude modifications have magnitudes that result in a desired spectral distribution when the portions of the signal at the output ends of the optical paths are combined.

5 In another aspect, the invention includes a method for modifying the spectral distribution of an optical signal which includes the steps of splitting the signal among a plurality of optical paths according to predetermined splitting ratios, and changing the relative phases of the portions of the signal propagating through different ones of the optical paths; the relative phase changes and the splitting ratios having magnitudes which result in a desired spectral distribution when the portions of the signal at the output ends of the optical paths are combined; and combining the portions of the signal  
10 at the output ends of the optical paths.

In another aspect, the invention includes an optical communication system comprising an optical amplifier and a spectral filter that includes an input waveguide and a plurality of tapped delay lines. Each of the tapped delay lines has a delay element configurable to impart a predetermined delay to the signal propagating down the delay  
15 line. Couplers split an optical signal, propagating on the input waveguide, among the tapped delay lines. The delays imparted to the signals on each line are chosen so as to effect the desired change to the spectral profile.

The claimed embodiments of the invention provide spectral shaping which is polarization-independent, and which, in certain embodiments, may be actively  
20 configurable or permanently configured. The spectral filtering of the invention may, if desired, be provided in a planar device, which is compact, reliable and economically fabricated. It is particularly well suited to use as a gain-flattening filter for an optical amplifier, which allows the amplifier to be used in a constant gain mode.

Additional features and advantages of the invention will be set forth in the  
25 detailed description which follows, and in part will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art from that description or recognized by practicing the invention as described herein, including the detailed description which follows, the claims, as well as the appended drawings.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the  
30 following detailed description are merely exemplary of the invention, and are intended to provide an overview or framework for understanding the nature and character of the invention as it is claimed. The accompanying drawings are included to provide a

further understanding of the invention, and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification. The drawings illustrate various embodiments of the invention, and together with the description serve to explain the principles and operation of the invention. Additional features and advantages of the invention will be set forth in the detailed description which follows, and in part will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art from that description or recognized by practicing the invention as described herein, including the detailed description which follows, the claims, as well as the appended drawings.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are merely exemplary of the invention, and are intended to provide an overview or framework for understanding the nature and character of the invention as it is claimed. The accompanying drawings are included to provide a further understanding of the invention, and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification. The drawings illustrate various embodiments of the invention, and together with the description serve to explain the principles and operation of the invention.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of an embodiment of the invention;  
Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of an alternate embodiment of the invention;  
Figure 3 is a schematic diagram of another alternate embodiment of the invention;  
Figure 4 is a schematic diagram of another alternate embodiment of the invention;  
Figure 5 is a graph showing a gain spectrum for an EDFA before gain flattening; and  
Figure 6 is a graph showing a gain spectrum for an EDFA after gain flattening.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Reference will now be made in detail to the present preferred embodiments of the invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. Wherever possible, the same reference numbers will be used throughout the drawings

to refer to the same or like parts. An exemplary embodiment of an apparatus for changing the spectral profile of an optical system of the present invention is shown in Figure 1, and is designated generally throughout by reference numeral 10.

5 In accordance with the invention, an embodiment of the invention provides spectral shaping by employing an optical tapped delay line realization of the desired narrow-band impulse response. In this embodiment, a splitter tree partitions the incoming signal among several taps in the correct proportions for a given impulse response. Each tap imparts a nominal delay of  $T$  seconds, relative to neighboring taps. The design of the splitter tree may be chosen so that the inverse of the delay ( $1/T$ ) is on  
10 the order of the free spectral range of interest, or more preferably, about twice the desired free spectral range. Delay perturbations in each tap set the approximate phase for that tap. With the splitter ratio determining amplitude, and the delay perturbations determining phase for the signal propagating down each tap, the signals output from each tap are superimposed to yield an output signal having the desired spectral shape.

15 As illustrated in Figure 1, an embodiment of the present invention for changing the spectral profile of an optical signal includes an array of optical paths 14 which, in the illustrated embodiment, are configured in a splitter tree arrangement. The optical path array 14 can be an array of waveguides in a planar substrate, such as, for example, single mode silica waveguides on a silicon substrate, or waveguides on a  $\text{LiNiO}_3$   
20 substrate. In the illustrated splitter tree arrangement, a first optical path 16 directs the signal to a first coupler 18, which may be, for example, a Mach-Zehnder interferometer or other suitable variable coupler so that the coupling ratio may be selectively configured as desired. The first coupler 18 splits the signal into two portions and couples the two portions into optical paths 21, 22, each of which is  
25 optically connected to one of a second and a third coupler 19, 20 respectively, which may be any suitable variable  $2 \times 2$  coupler such as a Mach-Zehnder interferometer. Each of the second and third couplers 19, 20 split the power input to it on to a pair of waveguides 24, 26 and 28, 30, respectively. In the illustrated embodiment, these waveguides act as taps, with the relative tap weights being effectively set by splitting  
30 the input power in appropriate ratios over the two stages of variable couplers made up by the first coupler 18 (in the first stage) and the second and third couplers (in the second stage). Each of the tap waveguides 24, 26, 28 and 30 has a different effective

optical path length chosen so that each tap imports a nominal delay T to a signal propagating through it, relative to a neighboring tap. As illustrated, this may be accomplished by the tap waveguides having successively longer (or shorter) actual lengths. For purposes of illustration, a two-stage splitter tree is illustrated in Figure 1.

5 Embodiments with different numbers of stages and of tap waveguides are within the scope of the invention.

A delay element 38 is associated with each of the tap waveguides 24, 26, 28 and 30 for imparting delay perturbations to the signal traveling through that tap. The delay elements 38 may be, for example, portions of the associated waveguide which have an

10 altered index of refraction. Altering the index of refraction in a waveguide changes the effective optical path length through that waveguide, thereby effecting a delay in propagation time, and in phase, through that waveguide. The alteration in refractive index can be a permanent alteration, or alternatively, a temporary or reversible alteration. In this alternative, the refractive index preferably could be selectively

15 modified as desired to achieve the desired delay in propagation.

Some examples of how this modification of refractive index can be achieved include, for waveguides in a silica substrate, exposing a portion of the waveguide to UV radiation. This will result in a permanent modification of the refractive index. Temporary or reversible modifications to the refractive index can be provided for

20 waveguides in a silica substrate by providing selectively actuated heating elements adjacent the waveguide portion for which the refractive index changes are desired. For an embodiment of the invention using waveguides in an electro-optic substrate such as  $\text{LiNiO}_3$ , electrodes adjacent a waveguide may be used to provide a voltage across the waveguide, which will change the refractive index in a non-permanent fashion

25 according to the well-known electro-optic effect.

In the illustrated embodiment, the tap waveguides are reflected back on themselves by, for example, a reflector 34 at the output end of the optical paths which make up tap waveguides 24, 26, 28 and 30, reflect the portions of the signal propagating down these optical paths back through the splitter tree 14 in the opposite

30 direction. This ensures that the couplers, which separate the input signals into the successive stages of the splitter tree and the coupling of the delayed copies of the input signals, are accomplished in the same ratios. The reflector 34 can be a polished gold-

plated surface or any other suitable reflective optical element or elements. The ports 40, 42 and 44 to the first, second and third couplers 18, 19 and 20, which do receive input power may be used to probe the couplers, for system monitoring.

Figure 2 shows an embodiment of the invention similar to that of Figure 1, in which the first, second and third couplers 18, 19, 20 are illustrated as Mach-Zehnder interferometers 41, 43, 45, respectively. In an embodiment where the splitter tree 14 is fabricated with silica waveguides on a silicon substrate, the splitting ratios through these Mach-Zehnder elements 41, 43, 45 may be modified as desired by actuation of heating elements 46, 47, 48 adjacent the waveguide making up one arm of the Mach-Zehnder interferometer. In the embodiment of Figure 2, a circulator 50 between the input waveguide 52 and the splitter tree 14 ensures that the reflected signal propagates to the output optical path 54. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that alternative means may be used to direct optical signals from a communication system into and out of the device 10, employing other optical components such as isolators, for example.

The embodiments of Figures 1 and 2 may be modified by replacing the reflector element 34 with a mirror image of the array. An example of this is illustrated in Figure 3.

In another aspect of the invention, illustrated in Figure 4, an optical communication system includes a transmitter 53, a receiver 54, an optical amplifier 56 which may be an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA), and a gain-flattening filter 58. The gain-flattening filter can be a spectral filter 10 as illustrated in Figures 1, 2 or 3. A waveguide 60 propagates a signal from the amplifier 56 to the gain flattening filter 58. In this aspect, the splitting ratios and delays for the embodiment illustrated in Figure 1, 2 or 3 would preferably be chosen to provide a gain flattening effect to the output of the optical amplifier 58.

For example, suppose it is desired to realize a gain-flattening filter for an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA), operating over the C-band (roughly 1530-1560 nm), with 8 taps. In this particular example, the parameters will be chosen so that the device optimally flattens the gain of the amplifier at a population inversion level of 65%, the expected operating condition for a particular amplifier design. Figure 5 shows the spectral gain profile for the amplifier at that inversion level. It is recognized



that the spectral measurement is noisy, and the optimization should be robust enough to accommodate this limitation.

Calculation of the appropriate complex tap weights may be done using MathCAD software, and an annotated MathCAD script is given in Appendix – Part 1 to illustrate an example of appropriate steps taken in designing a device to realize such a function. Spectral scans at several inversion levels are recorded in the file, C-Band.txt, from which the design wavelengths (indicated by the vector  $k$  - Design) and gains (indicated by the matrices:  $y$  in dB units,  $A$  in absolute units) are extracted.

The Nyquist (sampling) theorem ordinarily dictates that the sampling interval  $T$  be chosen to correspond to the inverse of twice the frequency range of interest. In the present design example, this criterion would lead to the specification  $T = 110$  fsec. However, this should not be interpreted as a rigid constraint, and in fact a minimum least square error solution is actually obtained from 185 fsec. In fact, if the Nyquist theorem is followed blindly, there is also the very real possibility that a quite lossy system will result, since the range over which the desired response is specified is only half the free spectral range (in fact, it is this condition which insures that there is absolutely no aliasing), and over the other half may be where the transmission maximum occurs. This possibility follows from the constraint that a given tap weight cannot exceed unity. Thus, some searching for an optimal  $T$  must occur in general. For a given  $T$  however, optimization proceeds as follows.

The first step is to quickly arrive at a linear least mean-square (LMS) solution. This leads to a solution for the complex tap coefficients which is obtained by representing the system transfer function as a weighted (by the tap coefficients) superposition of sinusoids in the frequency domain. Under such an optimization paradigm, the transfer function to be approximated must be specified both in amplitude and phase. Since the design task simply specifies the amplitude of the desired spectral response, the phase can be any arbitrary function. The phase functions  $\Phi$  in Appendix – Part 1 were chosen based on a minimum-phase criterion. In the same way that the real and imaginary parts of the refractive index are related in dielectric materials, the principle of causality leads to this relationship between the amplitude and phase of a linear, causal system. Clearly, this is not the only phase relationship that can exist for a given amplitude of response, and in fact a non-linear optimization leads to a different

function, as will be explained shortly. However, it is necessary to initiate the optimization at a reasonable starting point, and in this example, this function provides that initial guess.

Thus, with amplitude and phase determined, the target transfer function  $H$  is completely specified. The graphs of  $\lambda_{\text{design } k}$  versus  $|H_{k, 3}|$  and  $\phi_{k, 3}$  illustrate the amplitude and phase for this function, chosen for  $N=3$ , where the population inversion is 65 %. In the present example, the number of taps, indicated by the constant, Taps, is 8. The complex cumulants are then computed for the LMS estimation of the complex tap weights, represented by the vector  $h$ , which is then normalized and presented graphically in the MathCAD script. From this, two frequency responses are derived:  $H_2$  and  $H_3$ .  $H_3$  represents the response of an ideal tapped delay line system, for which  $h$  is not frequency dependent, while  $H_2$  represents the response for a system in which the phase is allowed to vary over the frequency range in a way consistent with approximating a desired phase by a constant delay over a narrow range of frequencies. The graphs of  $\lambda_{\text{Data } m}$  versus  $|H_{2 m}|$  and  $|H_{3 m}|$  show the difference between the two functions. There is little difference between the functions, validating the assumption that for this narrow-band system, a constant delay is a good approximation to a constant phase. Over the frequency range of interest in the example, the RMS ripple in the compensated output is only 0.2 dB.

As mentioned above, the linear prediction may be used as a starting point for optimization for a given design. Once  $h$  is found in this way, a non-linear optimal solution may be found by entering the elements of  $h$  into the C program shown in Appendix – Part 2, which randomly perturbs the real and imaginary components of  $h$  in such a way as to minimize the RMS error in the gain-compensated ripple, after an appropriate number of trials and with simulated annealing.

Following this non-linear optimization, the optimal impulse response coefficients (i.e. the elements of  $h$ ), given in Appendix-Part 3, show that the RMS ripple has been reduced to a mere 0.047 dB. As can be seen in Figure 6, this ripple is most likely due to random error in the data.

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made to the present invention without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Thus, it is intended that the present invention covers the

modifications and variations of this invention provided they come within the scope of the appended claims and their equivalents.

5

10

15

20

25

30

## Appendix – Part 1

Data which, in this example, consists of gains for 71 wavelengths at 11 inversion levels, is read in:

5 Spectra="C-Band.txt"  
V := READPRN(Spectra)

M := 71 N := 11

m := 0..M - 1

n := 0..N - 1

10 Data wavelengths

$\lambda_{\text{Data}_m} := V_{m+1,0}$

Inversion levels

15  $n2_n := V_{0,n+15}$

Design wavelengths. In this example, only the useful portion of the gain curves are of interest.

k := 0..34

20  $\lambda_{\text{Design}_k} := \lambda_{\text{Data}_k}$

Radian frequencies:

$$\omega_m := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot 3 \cdot 10^8}{\lambda_{\text{Data}_m} \cdot 10^{-9}}$$

25 Gains at k'th wavelength, n'th inversion level, in dB

$\gamma_{k,n} := V_{k+1,n+15}$

Corresponding sampling rate, in seconds.

$T := 1.85 \cdot 10^{-13}$

30

Absolute spectral response.

$$A_{k,n} := 10^{\frac{\gamma_{k,n}}{10}}$$

5 Mean radian frequency for n'th inversion level.

$$\Omega_n := \frac{\sum_k A_{k,n} \cdot \omega_k}{\sum_k A_{k,n}}$$

10 Create a phase profile, based on a minimum-phase criterion. Assume spectrum to repeat after a free spectral range

$$s := 0..34 \quad p := -5..5$$

$$\Phi_{k,n} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \sum_p \left[ \sum_s \left[ \text{if} \left( (p \cdot 35 + k - s) = 0, 0, \frac{\ln(A_{s,n})}{p \cdot 35 + k - s} \right) \right] \right]$$

15



$$\phi_{k,n} := \text{mod}(\Phi_{k,n}, \pi \cdot 2) \quad j := \sqrt{-1}$$

Desired transfer function for gain compensation at n'th inversion level (choice of numerator sets desired gain).

20

$$H_{k,n} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{k,n}}} \cdot \exp(-j \cdot \phi_{k,n})$$

25



Number of waveguide taps (in this example, 8 taps).

$$\text{Taps} := 8$$

30

5

Choice for an inversion level at which to operate.

$$N := 3$$

$$n2_N = 0.65$$

Frequency response vector and matrix for least mean square (LMS) error computation.

10

$$t := 0..Taps - 1 \quad u := 0..Taps - 1$$

$$v_t := \sum_k H_{k,N} \cdot \exp(j \cdot \omega_k \cdot T \cdot t)$$

$$M_{t,u} := \sum_k \exp[j \cdot \omega_k \cdot T \cdot (t - u)]$$

15

Impulse response vector which gives the LMS error.

$$h := M^{-1} \cdot v$$

Normalize impulse response.

20

$$Norm := \sum_t |h_t|$$

$$h_t := \frac{h_t}{Norm}$$


$$Amplitude_t := |h_t|$$

25

$$\sum_t (Amplitude_t)^2 = 1$$

30

Amplitude and phase of the impulse response which gives the minimum RMS error for the chosen number of taps, at the chosen inversion level:



5        Gain compensation: Amplifier + TDL filter:

$$\text{Comp}_k := 10 \cdot \log \left[ \left| A_{k,N} \cdot (H_{2k})^2 \right| \right]$$

$$\mu := \frac{\sum_k \text{Comp}_k}{\sum_k 1}$$

10

15        Normalize impulse response.

$$\text{Norm} := \sum_t (|h_t|)$$

$$h_t := \frac{h_t}{\text{Norm}}$$

20

$$\mu = 24.340649$$

$ h_t $	$\arg(h_t)$
0.664311	$7.286887 \cdot 10^{-3}$
0.061072	2.323812
0.123914	-0.520946
0.092406	0.967871
0.030248	2.236701
$6.107161 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-2.407204
0.01346	1.932358
$8.47443 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-2.633122

$$\max(\text{Comp}) - \min(\text{Comp}) = 0.675054 \text{ max Ripple in dB}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_k (\text{Comp}_k - \mu)^2}{\sum_k 1}} = 0.207617 \text{ RMS Ripple}$$

30



## Appendix - Part 2

```

/* AGPF_1.c */

/* This program performs non-linear optimization of the complex tap weights
for the active gain flattening filter, starting from the LMS predicted
tap weights from the MathCad simulations.

5
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <math.h>

/* Constants */

int const Taps = 8;
double const T = 1.85E-13; /* Sampling interval.
int const Trials = 10000; /* Number of trials in search for optimal tap coefficients.
10 double const Step = 0.001; /* Step size for coefficient perturbation.

/* Variables */

int m,n,k,Last_m;
float Dummy;
int Num; /* Number of frequencies considered.
int Lambda[100]; /* Wavelengths in nm. Contained in first column of the file Spec
double Omega[100]; /* Corresponding omega's.
double arg[100]; /* Product of omega and sampling interval.
15 double Gain[100];
double Target[100];
double Hurdle;
double h_Ref;
FILE *Spectrum; /* Successively lowest ILEF values.
FILE *Outfile; /* Dummy variable for perturbing Re and Im parts of impulse resp
double h[16] = { 0.968829, 0.00705988, /* File contains optimized impulse response;
                -0.060909, 0.064988,
                0.156748, -0.089945,
                0.076421, 0.111006,
                -0.027252, 0.03469,
20                -0.00661106, -0.005968813,
                -0.0069476, 0.018371,
                -0.010796, -0.006017082);

/* Functions */

double S(int ComputedAt); /* Computes the spectrum for the as-then defined
                           complex impulse response, at the radian frequency
                           indexed by the integer ComputedAt.
double ILEF(); /* Resultant ILEF.

25 main(argc, argv)
    int argc;
    char **argv;
{
    Spectrum = fopen("a:\Gain.txt", "r");
    Outfile = fopen("a:\h.txt", "w");

/* Read in gain data. First column is wavelength, second is gain, in dB.
The wavelengths should only cover the range we're interested in flattening.
After reaching EOF, the variable Num will represent the number of wavelengths
30 represented in this range.

    Num = 0;
    while (fscanf(Spectrum, "%d %f \n", &Lambda[Num], &Dummy) != EOF)
    {
        Gain[Num] = pow(10, Dummy/10);
        Omega[Num] = 1.884956E18/(float)Lambda[Num];
    }

```

```

    arg[Num] = Omega[Num]*T;
    Num++;
}

/* Compute inverse function for 25 dB overall gain */

5   for(m=0;m<Num;m++) Target[m] = 316/Gain[m];

    Hurdle = ILEF();          /* Initiates search. */
    Last_m = 0; m = 0;
    for(n=0;n<Trials;n++)
    {
        while(m==Last_m) m = (int)floor(2.0*Taps*rand()/(float)RAND_MAX);
        h_Ref = h[m];
        h[m] += Step;
        while(ILEF()<Hurdle) {
10             Hurdle = ILEF();
                h_Ref = h[m];
                h[m] += Step;

            h[m] = h_Ref;
            h[m] -= Step;
            while(ILEF()<Hurdle) {
                Hurdle = ILEF();
                h_Ref = h[m];
                h[m] -= Step;
            };

            h[m] = h_Ref;
            Last_m = m;
15        }

        printf(" %f \n",Hurdle);
        for(m=0;m<Taps;m++) printf(" %f %f \n",h[2*m],h[2*m+1]);
        for(m=0;m<Taps;m++) fprintf(Outfile," %f %f \n",h[2*m],h[2*m+1]);

        fclose(Spectrum);
        fclose(Outfile);
20    }


double S(int At)
{
    double Dummy_Re,Dummy_Im;
    Dummy_Re = 0;
    Dummy_Im = 0;
    for(k=0;k<Taps;k++)
    {
25        Dummy_Re += h[2*k]*cos(arg[At]*k) + h[2*k+1]*sin(arg[At]*k);
        Dummy_Im += h[2*k+1]*cos(arg[At]*k) - h[2*k]*sin(arg[At]*k);
    }
    return (Dummy_Re*Dummy_Re + Dummy_Im*Dummy_Im);
}

double ILEF()
{
    double min,max,Dummy;
    int m;
    min = 10*log10(S(0)*Gain[0]);
    max = min;
30    for(m=1;m<Num;m++)
    {
        Dummy = 10*log10(S(m)*Gain[m]);
        if(Dummy>max) max = Dummy;
        if(Dummy<min) min = Dummy;
    }
    return (max-min);
}

```

## Appendix - Part 3

Amplitude and phase of the impulse response which gives the minimum RMS error for the chosen number of taps, at the chosen inversion level:




Actual frequency response of TDL system.

$$H2_m := \sum_t |h_t| \cdot \exp \left[ j \cdot \omega_m \cdot \left( \frac{\arg(h_t)}{\Omega_N} \right) \right] \cdot \exp(-j \cdot \omega_m \cdot t \cdot T)$$

Frequency response of ideal LMS TDL system.

$$H3_m := \sum_t h_t \cdot \exp(-j \cdot \omega_m \cdot t \cdot T)$$



5

Gain compensation:

Amplifier + TDL filter:

$$\text{Comp}_k := 10 \cdot \log \left[ \left| A_{k,N} \cdot (H2_k)^2 \right| \right]$$

10

$$\mu := \frac{\sum_k \text{Comp}_k}{\sum_k 1}$$

Normalize impulse response.

15

$$\text{Norm} := \sum_t |h_t|$$

$$h_t := \frac{h_t}{\text{Norm}}$$

$$\mu = 24.169417$$

20

$ h_t $	$\arg(h_t)$
0.654423	0.014642
0.05351	2.334039
0.135287	-0.552664
0.104525	1.017118
0.02811	2.398415
$2.939684 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.781281
0.01335	2.126527
$7.851671 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-2.992377

25

$$\max(\text{Comp}) - \min(\text{Comp}) = 0.156938 \text{ max Ripple in dB}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_k (\text{Comp}_k - \mu)^2}{\sum_k 1}} = 0.047031 \text{ RMS Ripple}$$

30

What is claimed is:

1. An apparatus for changing the spectral profile of an optical signal comprising:  
a plurality of optical paths, each of said optical paths having associated  
5 therewith a delay element which imparts a predetermined delay to a  
signal propagating through the optical path;  
a plurality of couplers configured to split the optical signal among said plurality  
of optical paths according to predetermined splitting ratios;  
wherein said predetermined delays and predetermined splitting ratios are chosen  
10 so as to effect the desired change to said spectral profile.
2. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said predetermined delays and said  
predetermined splitting ratios are variable.
- 15 3. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said optical paths comprise waveguides and  
said delay elements include portions of said optical paths with an altered index of  
refraction.
4. The apparatus of claim 3 wherein said altered index of refraction is permanently  
20 altered.
5. The apparatus of claim 4 wherein said waveguides comprise silica waveguides,  
and said portions of said optical paths with an altered index of refraction comprise  
portions of said silica waveguides which have been exposed to UV radiation.

6. The apparatus of claim 2, wherein said waveguides comprise waveguides in electro-optic material and said delay elements include electrodes adjacent said waveguides.

5 7. The apparatus of claim 3, wherein said waveguides comprise silica waveguides and said delay elements include heating elements adjacent said waveguides.

8. The apparatus of claim 3 wherein said index of refraction is selectively reversibly alterable.

10

9. The apparatus of claim 3, wherein said optical paths comprise waveguides forming a splitter tree.

15

10. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein said optical paths comprise waveguides forming a splitter tree.

11. The apparatus of claim 10 wherein said splitter tree has an input end and an output end, and further comprising a reflector at said output end.

20

12. The apparatus of claim 9 wherein said splitter tree has an input end and an output end, and further comprising a reflector at said output end.

13. The apparatus of claim 1 further comprising an optical amplifier and an optical path between said optical amplifier and said coupler.

25

14. A gain flattening filter for use with an optical amplifier comprising:  
an input waveguide;

a plurality of tapped delay lines comprising waveguides and delay elements associated with said waveguides,  
a plurality of variable couplers configured to split an optical signal propagating on said input waveguide among said plurality of tapped delay lines according to predetermined splitting ratios;  
5 wherein each of said delay elements is configurable to impart a predetermined delay to the signal propagating down the associated waveguide, wherein said predetermined delays are chosen so as to effect a flattening of the spectral profile of said  
10 optical signal.

15. The gain-flattening filter of claim 14 wherein said tapped delay lines comprise waveguides formed in a planar substrate.

15 16. The gain-flattening filter of claim 14 wherein said delay elements comprise selectively actuated resistive heating elements near said associated waveguides.

17. The gain-flattening filter of claim 14 wherein said delay elements comprise regions of said associated waveguides having a propagation coefficient which differs  
20 from that of the remainder of said associated waveguide.

18. The gain-flattening filter of claim 16 wherein said regions of said associated waveguides comprise regions which have been exposed to UV radiation.

25 19. An optical communication system comprising:  
an optical amplifier;  
a spectral filter comprising an input waveguide;

a plurality of tapped delay lines, each of said tapped delay lines  
including a delay element on said line,

a plurality of couplers configured to split an optical signal propagating  
on said input waveguide among said plurality of tapped delay  
5 lines according to predetermined splitting ratios;

wherein said delay elements are configurable to impart a predetermined  
delay to the signal propagating down said line, wherein said  
predetermined delays are chosen so as to effect the desired  
change to said spectral profile; and

10 an optical path between said amplifier and said filter.

20. A method for modifying the spectral distribution of an optical signal comprising  
the steps of:

splitting the signal among a plurality of optical paths, each having an  
15 output end, whereby a portion of the signal propagates on each of  
the optical paths;

changing the relative phases of the portions of the signal propagating  
through the optical paths;

modifying the amplitudes of the portions of the signal propagating  
20 through the optical paths;

the relative phase changes and the amplitude modifications having  
magnitudes which result in a desired spectral distribution when  
the portions of the signal at the output ends of the optical paths  
are combined; and


25 combining the portions of the signal at the output ends of the optical  
paths.



21. The method of claim 20 wherein the step of changing the relative phases comprises delaying the propagation of the portion of the signal in at least one of the optical paths by an amount different from the others of said optical paths.
- 5 22. The method of claim 21 wherein the amplitude modifying step comprises applying weighting coefficients to the portions of the signal propagating through the optical paths.
- 10 23. The method of claim 22 wherein the delaying step further comprises modifying the refractive indices of each of said plurality of the optical paths.
24. The method of claim 23 further comprising the steps of:  
determining the magnitude of each of said delays necessary to result in the  
desired spectral distribution; and modifying the refractive index of each of the  
15 optical paths by an amount which results in the determined delay magnitude.
25. A method for modifying the spectral distribution of an optical signal comprising the steps of:  
splitting the signal among a plurality of optical paths having output ends  
20 according to predetermined splitting ratios,  
changing the relative phases of the portions of the signal propagating through  
different ones of the optical paths;  
the relative phase changes and the splitting ratios having magnitudes which  
result in a desired spectral distribution when the portions of the signal at  
25 the output ends of the optical paths are combined; and  
combining the portions of the signal at the output ends of the optical paths.

1 / 4

FIG. 1



2 / 4

FIG. 2





FIG. 3



3 / 4

FIG. 4






FIG. 5



4 / 4

FIG. 6



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/19788

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : G02F 1/01

US CL : 385/1

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 385/1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 24, 47, 48, 49, 129, 130, 131, 132

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
NONE

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
Please See Continuation Sheet

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4,840,447 A (KATAOKA) 20 June 1989 (20.06.1989), see entire document, especially Figure 1.	1, 3, 8-10
X	US 5,253,309 A (NAZARATHY et al.) 12 October 1993 (12.10.1993), see entire document, especially Figure 9 and column 6, lines 26-28.	1-3, 6-10
A	US 5,347,601 A (ADE et al.) 13 September 1994 (13.09.1994), see entire document.	1-25
X	US 5,351,317 A (WEBER) 27 September 1994 (27.09.1994), see entire document, especially column 2, line 62, through column 4; line 57, and Figures 1 and 2.	1-25
X	US 5,832,155 A (RASCH et al.) 03 November 1998 (03.11.1998), see entire document, especially column 10, lines 3-38; column 14, line 59, through column 15, line 25; and Figure 8.	1-3, 6, 8-10, 13, 20-25



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

30 August 2001 (30.08.2001)

Date of mailing of the international search report

19 SEP 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Akm Ullah

Telephone No. 703-308-0956

Shawn S. Abbe

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/US01/19788

**C ntinuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3:**

**USPTO EAST**

search terms: optical waveguides, splitting ratio, coupling ratio, couplers, phase, power, gain, flattening, equalize



P.B.5818 - Patentlaan 2  
2280 HV Rijswijk (ZH)  
☎ +31 70 340 2040  
TX 31651 epo nl  
FAX +31 70 340 3016

**Europäisches  
Patentamt**

Zweigstelle  
in Den Haag  
Recherchen-  
abteilung


**European  
Patent Office**

Branch at  
The Hague  
Search  
division

**Office européen  
des brevets**

Département à  
La Haye  
Division de la  
recherche

Brose, Gerhard  
Alcatel,  
Intellectual Property Department - Stuttgart  
70430 Stuttgart  
ALLEMAGNE



Datum/Date  
14.02.03

Zeichen/Ref./Réf. 113 502	Anmeldung Nr./Application No./Demande n°/Patent Nr./Patent No./Brevet n°. 02360249.3-2415-
melder/Applicant/Demandeur/Patentinhaber/Proprietor/Titulaire ALCATEL	

## COMMUNICATION

The European Patent Office herewith transmits as an enclosure the European search report for the above-mentioned European patent application.

If applicable, copies of the documents cited in the European search report are attached.

☐ Additional set(s) of copies of the documents cited in the European search report is (are) enclosed as well.

The following specifications given by the applicant have been approved by the Search Division:

☒ abstract

☒ title

☐ The abstract was modified by the Search Division and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure will be published together with the abstract: 1

## REFUND OF THE SEARCH FEE

If applicable under Article 10 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.







European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 02 36 0249

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
Y	WO 02 14934 A1 (WIGLEY PETER G ET AL) 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21) * page 5, line 15 - page 6, line 15; claim 1; figure 1 *	1,7 ✓	H04B10/155
Y	US 5 132 639 A (FRAME JOHN S ET AL) 21 July 1992 (1992-07-21) * column 2, line 42 - column 3, line 3 * * column 3, line 51 - column 4, line 39 *	1,7 ✓	
A	EP 1 204 228 A (CIT ALCATEL) 8 May 2002 (2002-05-08) * abstract; figure 1 *	1-12 ✓	
A	US 5 812 294 A (WILSON GORDON COOK) 22 September 1998 (1998-09-22) * abstract; figure 2 *	1-12 ✓	
A	US 5 327 279 A (MCBRIEN GREGORY J ET AL) 5 July 1994 (1994-07-05) * abstract; figure 2 *	1-12 ✓	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
			H04B
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search MUNICH		Date of completion of the search 5 February 2003	Examiner Phillips, S
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document			
T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

1

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT  
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 02 36 0249

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.  
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on  
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

05-02-2003

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0214934 26 A1		NONE	
US 5132639 A	21-07-1992	US 4992754 A	12-02-1991
		AU 645878 B2	27-01-1994
		AU 1078292 A	07-01-1993
		CA 2060117 A1	09-08-1992
		DE 69220514 D1	31-07-1997
		DE 69220514 T2	09-10-1997
		EP 0498456 A1	12-08-1992
		ES 2104741 T3	16-10-1997
		JP 3221594 B2	22-10-2001
		JP 7202763 A	04-08-1995
		JP 2056370 C	23-05-1996
		JP 4336819 A	25-11-1992
		JP 7087403 B	20-09-1995
		US 5363056 A	08-11-1994
		US 5252930 A	12-10-1993
		AU 645029 B2	06-01-1994
		AU 6199590 A	14-03-1991
		CA 2024385 A1	08-03-1991
		DE 69032831 D1	28-01-1999
		DE 69032831 T2	12-05-1999
		DE 416622 T1	19-03-1992
		EP 0416622 A2	13-03-1991
		ES 2125851 T3	16-03-1999
		JP 1925165 C	25-04-1995
		JP 3179807 A	05-08-1991
		JP 6052816 B	06-07-1994
EP 1204228 A	08-05-2002	EP 1204228 A1	08-05-2002
		US 2002054421 A1	09-05-2002
US 5812294 A	22-09-1998	NONE	
US 5327279 A	05-07-1994	CA 2144960 A1	03-02-1994
		DE 69316867 D1	12-03-1998
		DE 69316867 T2	28-05-1998
		EP 0612452 A1	31-08-1994
		WO 9402998 A1	03-02-1994